

The Relation Between Exposure to Intimate Partner Violence and Childhood Dental Decay: A Scoping Review to Identify Novel Public Health Approaches to Early Intervention

Cynthia Weijs, Dip DH, PhD; Rebecca Lang, BSc; Diane L. Lorenzetti, PhD; Katrina Milaney, PhD; Rafael Figueiredo, BDS, MSc (DPH), FRCD(C); Leonard B. Smith, DDS, MSc, FRCD(C), FAAPD, Diplomate ABPD; Lindsay McLaren, PhD



Published May 24, 2019

Cite this as: *J Can Dent Assoc* 2019;85:j5

ABSTRACT

Background: Early childhood dental decay or caries (ECC) is common, often painful and costly to the health care system, yet it is largely preventable. A public health approach is needed, especially as socially vulnerable children most at risk for ECC are less likely to access conventional treatment. Exposure to intimate partner violence (IPV) in the family represents an important social vulnerability for children, yet little is known about ECC in this context. We explored the relation between ECC and exposure to IPV as well as opportunities for community-based early interventions to prevent ECC.

Methods: We searched 5 electronic databases. All primary research and reviews that focused on childhood decay and exposure to IPV or that referred to community settings (specifically women's shelters) for oral health service delivery were included.

Results: Of 198 unique documents identified, 12 were included in the analysis. Although limited, our findings suggest a positive relation between exposure to IPV and ECC, the mechanisms of which are not well studied. Women's-shelter-based prevention programs may hold promise in terms of detecting and addressing ECC. Over the time frame of the literature reviewed, we observed a subtle shift in emphasis away from individual behaviours and biological models toward upstream societal structures.

Conclusions: The available literature suggests that the issue of ECC and IPV may be poised to embrace a public health approach to early intervention, characterized by community collaboration, interprofessional cooperation between dentistry and social work and an equitable approach to ECC in a socially vulnerable group.

Social vulnerability and dental health

Despite improvements over the past 50 years,¹ significant social inequities in dental health exist: the burden of dental diseases is far higher among populations experiencing social disadvantage.^{2,3} Given the link between systemic and oral health,⁴ addressing oral health inequities is an important goal.^{5,6} An important contributor to those inequities is unequal access to dental care. Dental care in Canada is largely privately financed and delivered, with only 6% publicly funded. Although the system generally works well, significant barriers to access exist for populations identified as vulnerable.⁷

Primary tooth decay or early childhood caries (ECC) has also increased in recent decades,² suggesting a need for consideration of young children's circumstances. We aimed to understand ECC in families experiencing intimate partner violence (IPV), as one type of vulnerability. IPV is increasingly recognized as a consequential form of child maltreatment,^{8,9} strongly intertwined with social determinants of health including stress, income and housing.¹⁰ Exposure to IPV and neglect (including dental neglect) were identified as the primary types of child maltreatment in Canada in 2008, and the most common combination of substantiated child maltreatment.¹¹ Among substantiated cases of exposure to IPV in Canada in 2003, 60% involved children 7 years of age or under,¹² and high rates of IPV continue.¹³

Health professional approaches to child maltreatment

In the health professions literature,^{14,15} child maltreatment and oral health are linked through a key focus on mandated reporting — the obligation for oral health professionals to identify and report suspected child abuse or neglect.¹⁶ In clinical practice, care providers have the opportunity to identify signs of potential abuse (e.g., unexplained bruises of the head and neck, broken teeth) and to help families access appropriate services.^{17,18}

Regrettably, self-report surveys among health professionals suggest that family violence (including child maltreatment and exposure to IPV) is significantly under-identified and under-reported.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Dental professionals may report suspected cases less frequently than other professions²¹ and feel the least responsible to identify or intervene.²⁰ Possible reasons for this include: fear of consequences of misidentified cases,²⁰ perceived differing cultural norms, embarrassment, perceived ineffectiveness of reporting and lack of training in reporting processes.²² Education and training seem to increase health professionals' ability to identify suspected abuse, although reporting rates have not materially increased.^{19,20} Some evidence suggests that mandated reporters are uncomfortable identifying and responding to less blatant forms of child maltreatment, such

as exposure to IPV.²³

Shifting to a public health approach to IPV

Early reference to IPV as a public health issue occurred in the 1980s and 90s, opening the door for public health approaches to addressing the social aspects of IPV.²⁴ In Canada, 80% of violence is against women, with 30% experiencing IPV in their lifetime.²⁵ Trocmé and colleagues¹² found that older children (age 8–15 years) were more frequently victims of physical and sexual abuse, while younger children (the relevant ECC group) were more often victims of exposure to violence.

A public health approach builds on the knowledge that "health" is generated in everyday life, rather than primarily through health care and, therefore, multiple avenues²⁶ (e.g., creating supportive environments, developing personal skills, reorienting health services) and strategies (e.g., advocating, enabling) are warranted.²⁷ Multiple avenues mean more opportunities to reach families experiencing IPV around access to supports, including but not limited to oral health services, and this involves inter-professional collaboration.²⁸ In our setting, the relevance of this work was heightened by newly available publicly funded community dental programming,²⁸ providing an opportunity for new preventive access points for families experiencing IPV.

Purpose of our study

Our purpose was, first, to understand the nature of research activity in the existing literature regarding the relation between exposure to IPV and ECC, defined as any tooth decay (mild to severe) in primary teeth.²⁹ Second, as this project was part of a broader initiative focused on community-based prevention services (cihr-irsc.gc.ca/e/50711.html), we were interested in studies reporting on community-based dental service initiatives, specifically as related to populations experiencing IPV.

Methods


Identifying relevant studies, screening and selection

We undertook a scoping review,³⁰ with the help of a librarian (DLL). Research ethics board approval was not required.

We identified synonyms for our 2 key concepts, IPV and ECC, and used an iterative search strategy because of the evolving nature of the conceptualization of IPV³¹ in the literature. **Figure 1** details our screening process, which took place in May and June 2017.³²

We searched 5 electronic databases (CINAHL, EMBASE,

Figure 1: Flow chart showing selection of articles for review.



MEDLINE, ScienceDirect and Web of Science) and 6 relevant dental public health journals (i.e., *Journal of the Canadian Dental Association*, *Canadian Journal of Dental Hygiene*, *Journal of the American Dental Association*,

Journal of Dental Hygiene, *International Journal of Dental Hygiene* and *International Journal of Dentistry*) with no date limits and limited to the English language. We considered for inclusion all primary research and reviews focused

Table 1: Summary of 6 research studies on the relation between early childhood caries (ECC) and exposure to domestic violence (DV).

Authors and year	Type of study	ECC	DV	Relation
Blumberg & Kunken 1981 ³³	Case study	Severe decay in very young children (bottle-fed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Child abuse, described as deliberate inflicting of physical injury or harm on a child. Neglect may be physical, nutritional or emotional. Both have immediate emotional and physical effects but also long-term. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Authors' clinical experience suggests that mother and child may be exposed to threats of physical harm or violence because of crying child. Mothers may resort to bottles with sweet liquids that silence the child, keeping her and the child safe from harm, but unfortunately contributing to severe decay.
DiMarco et al. 2010 ³⁶	Quantitative, regression analyses	Oral health = total score on dental caries and injuries among children (mean age 6.38 years)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Victimization was a predictor variable (i.e., history of physical, emotional and sexual abuse). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No relation found between mother's history of victimization and child's dental caries.
Lorber et al. 2014 ⁴⁰	Quantitative, regression analyses	Child oral health level via parent report and DMFS score (mean age 10 years)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DV described as a noxious family environment and measured as observed hostility and aggression between parents. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nonsignificant positive trend toward female-to-male aggression and hostility having an impact on children's level of decay and oral health.
Bright et al. 2015 ⁴¹	Quantitative, regression analyses	Decayed teeth in children 1–17 years old (mean age 8.59 years)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adverse childhood experiences (ACES), one of which was exposure to domestic violence. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Children exposed to domestic violence had greater odds than not exposed of having fair or poor parent-reported overall oral health condition. Odds of poorer oral health condition and dental decay increased exponentially as number of ACES increased.
Sano-Asahito et al. 2015 ⁴²	Descriptive re: percentage of decay	Decay measured in oral exam among children aged 2–15 years (no mean given)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 20 of 65 participants categorized as having "exposure to domestic violence against the mother." 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Children (n = 39) who were abused (physical, psychological, sexual, neglect) had more untreated decay (62%) compared with all other children in the study (n = 26), among whom only 42% had untreated decay. This latter group included 20 children who had been exposed to violence against the mother.
Lorber et al. 2017 ⁴⁴	Quantitative, regression analyses	Decay determined by oral exam of children (mean age 10 years)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Looking at female-to-male emotional aggression and pathways to ECC. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weak evidence that mothers who are aggressive toward fathers have children who consume more cariogenic drinks, resulting in greater decay.

Note: DMFS = decay-missing-filled surfaces index, ACES = adverse childhood experiences.

on exposure to IPV and ECC or childhood decay or that referred to community settings (specifically women's shelters) for dental service delivery to women and/or children. We hand-searched the ancestry (reference lists) and progeny (cited bys) of all retained articles for additional relevant publications.

Charting the data

To provide meaningful information and comparisons among documents, we charted key information: bibliographic details, type of document and methodological details, how the concepts of ECC and IPV were discussed and main findings. For the documents related to shelter-based dental services, we also gathered contextual information.

Collating, summarizing and reporting the results

We analyzed the data in 3 stages.³⁰ CW and RL read the documents multiple times, charting key information, then summarized the information to promote meaningful comparisons.³⁰ The research team discussed the findings as related to the research purpose, the literature and the broader research and policy/practice context, especially around novel opportunities for a public health approach to early intervention.

Table 2: Summary of 6 research studies related to shelter-based dental services.

Authors and year	Type of study	Population/location	Contextual information
DiMarco 2007 ³⁴	Mixed methods: quantitative questionnaire and qualitative follow-up questions	Mothers with children, living in homeless shelters in a midwestern US city (n = 120)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mothers experiencing homelessness and with a history of victimization perceived more barriers to access to care than other mothers in the shelter. Dental caries was the no. 1 health problem experienced by children in the shelter, with nearly half presenting with untreated decay. Other barriers included: lack of insurance or unwillingness of dental service providers to accept the mother's medical insurance; transportation and childcare; appointment dates that were too far in the future (i.e., 1-3 months away); and various issues related to health, family violence or homelessness taking precedence over dental care.
Petrosky et al. 2009 ³⁵	Commentary	Women served by several domestic violence shelters in Rochester, New York, US	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describes a 15-year "integrated and collaborative" program between social work and dentistry that aimed to enhance dental resident education and impact community dental health outcomes in New York State. Projects operate on the premise that "poor oral health follows from psychosocial factors that need intervention," for example, using a health project counselor to manage perceived barriers to care.
Abel et al. 2012 ³⁷	Qualitative: needs assessment via focus groups/interviews	Dental residents (n = 10) and mothers experiencing domestic violence (n = 50) in Florida	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> After conducting needs assessments with stakeholders, this group developed programming to educate general dentistry residents in Florida, US, to provide oral health services for women living in, or receiving outpatient services from, domestic violence shelters. Shelter clients wanted to receive care in a safe, familiar place. They wanted dentists to be professional, respectful and sensitive. This project identified that dentists need integrated, experiential learning to address issues around domestic violence in their patients.
Abel et al. 2013 ³⁸	Mixed-methods: quantitative questionnaire and qualitative follow-up questions	Women recently safe from domestic violence and who had received dental care through the shelter-based program (n = 37)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluated the above-noted program³⁷ via pre- and post-treatment questionnaires. Shelter-based dental care improved oral health outcomes (clients experienced less pain, less avoidance of eating because of pain, less embarrassment because of how teeth look, less interference with eating, drinking, talking after treatment) Clients reported high level of satisfaction with dental residents' behaviour (e.g., listening, taking time, explaining procedures in full).
Guardia Tello 2013 ³⁹	Qualitative: interviews and document analysis	Interviews with dental hygienists, dentists and domestic violence experts in Alberta (n = 13)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analysis of dental regulatory body and professional association documents related to DV (e.g., policy, code of ethics, position statements, handbooks) In conversation, dentists and hygienists did not perceive a strong link between ECC and DV. Even where ECC was severe, they were more likely to point to education or money as the cause. In instances of mothers pacifying crying children with sweet liquids to avoid physical abuse, dental hygienists and dentists described this as a consequence of the mother's reasonable attempts to stay safe and survive. They believed more research was needed in this area. Correspondingly, they reported little urgency in reporting children's exposure to IPV as "child abuse," as is outlined in dental regulatory and professional association documentation.
VEGA 2016 ⁴³	Project summary	Women experiencing IPV and children exposed to IPV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A 3-year Canadian project (2015-2018) that strategically aims to reach the broader health professional community around specific needs of women experiencing domestic violence and the appropriate tailoring of health services to better support them. Acts as a central hub to provide all health professionals with consistent evidence, knowledge, tools and training to address health impacts of domestic violence, particularly around children's exposure to IPV. Provides trauma- and violence-informed clinical guidelines for health professionals to promote client safety and well-being in all aspects of care.

Note: DV = domestic violence, ECC = early childhood caries, IPV = intimate partner violence.

Results

Descriptive analysis

From 198 unique documents, we retained 12 for review.³³⁻⁴⁴ Of these, 9 (75%) were primary research articles.^{34,36-42,44} The remaining 3 included 1 commentary,³⁵ 1 commentary-style reflection³³ and 1 project summary.⁴³

Of the 12 retained documents, 6 looked at the relation between ECC (or childhood decay) and IPV.^{33,36,40-42,44} The

remaining 6 focused on community-based, collaborative, service models.^{34,35,37-39,43} All documents were from high-income countries, with 9 from the United States,^{33-38,40,41,44} 2 from Canada^{39,43} and 1 from Japan.⁴² One document was published in 1981,³³ with the remainder published between 2009 and 2017.³⁴⁻⁴⁴ The 9 primary research documents used quantitative methods (n = 5),^{36,40-42,44} qualitative methods (n = 2)^{37,39} or mixed methods^{34,38} (n = 2).

We identified 3 thematic groupings: (1) evolving refinement

of child maltreatment conceptualization in ECC research; (2) the nature of the relation between exposure to IPV and ECC; and (3) approaches to early dental public health intervention.

Thematic grouping 1: Evolving refinement of child maltreatment conceptualization in ECC research

In our examination of the literature, we observed progression toward a more sophisticated and differentiated conceptualization of child maltreatment. The earliest document³³ focused primarily on “child abuse” (inflicting physical harm) and “neglect” (physical, nutritional and frequently emotional) and its relation to childhood tooth decay. Although the authors describe “intra-family abuse,” which includes abuse of the mother, the phenomenon is not fleshed out and children’s exposure to that form of abuse is not considered.³³ Similarly, in comparing tooth decay in “abused” and “non-abused” children, Sano-Asahito et al.⁴² define abuse as physical and sexual abuse of children; children who had “only” been exposed to violence against their mother were classified as non-abused.

In contrast, other documents display a stronger understanding of the complexity around family violence, disentangling and classifying its interrelated areas more fulsomely: child maltreatment, intimate partner abuse and children’s exposure to IPV, which could include exposure to violence against the mother, mother to father hostility/aggression and father to mother hostility/aggression.^{40,42,44} The inclusion and recognition of the various forms of IPV that children could be exposed to^{40,42,44} suggests that impacts of such exposure in childhood are distinct from other forms of child abuse, which supports their examination in relation to health problems, including ECC.

Thematic grouping 2: The nature of the relation between exposure to IPV and ECC

Articles investigating this relationship are diverse and suggest that this area of study is at the exploratory stage^{33,36,40-42,44} (**Table 1**). The seminal document³² in this field presents a case study highlighting potential threat of IPV in response to a fussy infant or child, where mothers, to protect against further abuse, may reasonably opt to placate infants with sugary liquids in bottles, potentially increasing the risk of decay. Three quantitative studies suggest a positive relation between exposure to IPV and the presence of childhood decay.^{40,41,44} One study of a random sample of 135 couples recruited from New York State, found a non-significant trend ($p = 0.09$) toward a positive association between inter-parental emotional hostility and poor dental health in children as measured by decayed, missing due to decay and filled teeth (primary and permanent) and parent-reported children’s oral health status.⁴⁰ A national study of adverse childhood experiences in the United States found that children exposed to IPV had greater odds of fair or poor parent-reported oral health relative to non-exposed

children.⁴¹ A follow-up to the New York study noted above,⁴⁰ with the same sample, explored mediators (i.e., sugary drinks or snacks and child tooth-brushing) of the relation between inter-parental aggression or hostility and childhood decay.⁴⁴ Only sugary drinks was statistically significant; however, the relation became non-significant when controlling for income, suggesting a complexity that needs further investigation.

In contrast, a study of a sample of mothers living in homeless shelters found that the oral health of children of those with a history of victimization (emotional, physical and/or sexual abuse; 60% of the sample) was similar to that of children of mothers without such a history.³⁶ The final study in this group⁴² found that among children in protective care, 62% of “abused” children (those experiencing physical, psychological, sexual abuse and neglect) had untreated decay versus 42% of non-abused children. Here though, children exposed to IPV were classified as non-abused.⁴² The latter finding suggests that children exposed to IPV were less affected by decay relative to children experiencing more blatant maltreatment (e.g., physical or sexual abuse), but provides no insight relative to children who experienced no maltreatment.⁴²

Thematic grouping 3: Approaches to early dental public health intervention

Our final thematic grouping centred around domestic violence shelters and community-based opportunities for early dental public health intervention^{34,35,37-39,43} (**Table 2**). A thesis study³⁹ used focus groups and document analysis to investigate dentists’, hygienists’ and regulators’ framing of “the intersection of ECC and domestic violence,” concluding that dental professionals seemed unready to appreciate a potential link between exposure to domestic violence and ECC. Instead, their clinical experience suggested lack of parental education as a reason why children develop ECC.³⁹ In light of the tendency in dentistry to under-identify and under-report child abuse, these findings support our search for service opportunities beyond traditional settings.

Of the 5 remaining documents,^{34,35,37,38,43} 1 assessed barriers to dental care and provided minimal instrumental supports to reduce barriers among a convenience sample of 120 families living at a homeless shelter in the midwestern United States.³⁴ Mothers with a history of emotional, physical or sexual abuse perceived more barriers to care than those without such a history.³⁴ Simple interventions to improve access — providing a telephone and contact information for dentists who would accept the mother’s publicly funded dental insurance — resulted in nearly half (43%) of those contacted booking a dental appointment for their children and 10% already receiving oral health services at 1-month follow up, which suggests that these simple interventions were effective.³⁴

Three other articles^{35,37,38} described programs to train dental

care providers and provide community-based care through domestic violence shelter collaborations. One described a 15-year “integrated and collaborative” program in New York State,³⁵ where social work activities were added to dental residents’ training regarding IPV. The residents undertook experiential opportunities (e.g., shelter and home visits with social workers, riding the bus as a sole method of transportation) and reflected on their own biases around the life circumstances of their clients. Examples of other projects in this program included using a health counselor to manage barriers to care, increase clients’ oral health knowledge and provide support, such as appointment making, reminders and assistance with transportation. The results showed a decrease in appointment cancellations and an increase in kept appointments.³⁵

Abel and colleagues extended the initiative above to develop³⁷ and evaluate³⁸ educational programming for general dentistry residents in the United States to provide oral health services for women receiving in- or outpatient services through domestic violence programs.^{37,38} Separate focus groups with shelter clients and dental residents³⁷ informed the program, which was highly successful based on patient pre- and post-treatment surveys.³⁸ For example, women relayed views on how to provide comfortable dental care; how they felt about discussing domestic violence with the dentist; and what is important for the dentist to know, say or do in relation to the client’s history of domestic violence and oral health circumstances.³⁷ Dentists also benefited from integrated, experiential learning to address issues around domestic violence in their patients.^{37,38}

The final study is an online Canadian initiative that strategically aims to reach the broader health professional community around the specific needs of those experiencing domestic violence.⁴³ Violence, Evidence, Guidance, Action (VEGA) is a response to the identified need for the delivery of “evidence-based, compassionate, and integrated care” to families experiencing domestic violence. This 3-year strategic effort, currently in progress, addresses the health impacts of domestic violence, acting as a central hub for consistent evidence, knowledge, tools and training for health and allied social service professionals, including dental professionals.⁴³ For example, VEGA provides guidelines to assist health professionals in providing trauma- and violence-informed care including asking about domestic violence as a health issue, listening with empathy and without judgement, validating and believing the client, and showing support by assisting with connections to information and community services.⁴³

Discussion

We set out to explore research on the relation between exposure to IPV and ECC and opportunities for early intervention specifically related to community-based dental

prevention. Although such research is limited and inconsistent, it suggests a positive association between children’s exposure to IPV and tooth decay. One explanation for the inconsistencies may be the broad age range of children considered (often 2–16 years) and the resulting variation in the determinants of decay. Inconsistent or mixed results may furthermore reflect a literature in the early stages of development.

We found that conceptualization of IPV has evolved, and, consistent with the growing literature on adverse childhood experiences and their lifelong impacts,^{12,21,45} there is an appreciation that even perceived “lesser” forms of violence, such as exposure to IPV (relative to direct physical or sexual abuse), can impact children’s health, including oral health.

Generally, dental research and policy are characterized by a steady focus on biological and behavioural factors (the “lifestyle agenda”) that contribute to dental diseases,⁴⁶ especially childhood decay.⁴⁷ Here though, we see some movement away from these downstream factors toward a public health approach, which embraces a social determinants of health lens⁴⁶ to acknowledge that lifestyle factors are largely driven by socioeconomic and political conditions. Through a focus on family dynamics and access to services,^{35,36,41} the studies reviewed here subtly recognize gender and power relations in households as integral to oral health inequalities^{40,44} and, thus, begin to move away from labeling individual behaviours as personal failings. We concur that any movement in dental policy and research toward the common risk factors that underpin many chronic diseases (i.e., the social determinants of health), better aligns dental public health with the broader public health agenda.⁴⁶

Our findings indicate a growing interest in incorporating social work into community-based dental programs to improve oral health outcomes for people experiencing domestic violence.^{35-38,43} Although community-based programming does not replace mandated reporting by dental personnel, it is certainly 1 way to offset some of the negative consequences of domestic violence and offers a pathway for clinical dentistry to build relations with organizations addressing domestic violence. The experiential learning of dental residents around the social determinants of health, for example, seemed to assist in developing professionals with an appreciation for the varied challenges and complexity mothers experiencing family violence might face in pursuing dental care for their children.

A key strength of this paper was our iterative and comprehensive approach to developing the search terms and identifying relevant published literature. That said, we excluded the grey literature; the complex and evolving nature of IPV constrained our ability to search the grey literature, although such a search may have yielded relevant documents.

Conclusion

Childhood tooth decay and IPV are important dental profession and public health concerns, making this work both timely and relevant. As with public health generally, there is a clear need for the dental profession and for dental public health to address complex problems using approaches that incorporate social determinants and that are collaborative; our work highlights contributions to that important broader trend.

Moving forward, we make 2 suggestions for dental professional curricula. First, the use of evidence-based guidelines for trauma- and violence-informed care⁴³ seems a promising avenue for dental trainees to develop the nuanced set of skills that will ensure that client safety, autonomy, dignity and well-being guide all decisions around client disclosures in dental interactions.⁴³ Second, opportunities for experiential learning in community settings should be prioritized. In these settings, dental professionals can develop an appreciation of the impact of circumstances, such as domestic violence, on health; but more important, they may develop the critical lens needed to truly address socially determined inequities, that is, to act as advocates for change at a systems level.⁴⁸

Such a reorientation of curricula should better serve clients and may support a way forward for the profession to build trusting relationships with all clients, including those who are vulnerable. Our group is taking the first steps in exploring such an opportunity in Calgary, Alberta, where we are working to coordinate dental and community health worker capacity within the domestic violence shelter system to deliver decay screening, oral health education and referral for families experiencing domestic violence, with a focus on ECC prevention and trauma- and violence-informed care.


THE AUTHORS



Dr. Weijs is a Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) Health System Impact Postdoctoral Fellow, Department of Community Health Sciences, University of Calgary, and Alberta Health Services, Calgary, Alberta.



Ms. Lang was an MSc Student, Department of Community Health Sciences, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta.



Dr. Lorenzetti is adjunct assistant professor, Department of Community Health Sciences; O'Brien Institute for Public Health; and Director, Health Sciences Library, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta.



Dr. Milaney is assistant professor, Department of Community Health Sciences; O'Brien Institute for Public Health, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta.



Dr. Figueiredo is dental public health officer, Alberta Health Services, Provincial Oral Health Office, Edmonton, Alberta.



Dr. Smith is clinical assistant professor, Department of Pediatrics, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta.



Dr. McLaren is associate professor, Department of Community Health Sciences; O'Brien Institute for Public Health, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta.

Correspondence to: Dr. Cynthia A Weijs, TRW3, 3280 Hospital Dr. NW, Calgary AB T2N 4Z6. Email: cynthia.weijs@ucalgary.ca

Acknowledgement: This research was funded through Dr. McLaren's Applied Public Health Chair award from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (Institute of Population and Public Health, and Institute of Musculoskeletal Health and Arthritis), the Public Health Agency of Canada, and Alberta Innovates – Health Solutions

This article has been peer reviewed.

References

1. Summary report on the findings of the oral health component of the Canadian Health Measures Survey, 2007–2009. Ottawa: Health Canada; 2010. Available from: publications.gc.ca/site/eng/369653/publication.html
2. McGrady MG, Ellwood RP, Maguire A, Goodwin M, Boothman N, Pretty IA. The association between social deprivation and the prevalence and severity of dental caries and fluorosis in populations with and without water fluoridation. *BMC Public Health*. 2012;12:1122
3. Ravaghi V, Quiñonez C, Allison PJ. The magnitude of oral health inequalities in Canada: findings of the Canadian Health Measures Survey. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2013;41(6):490-8
4. Garcia RI, Henshaw MM, Krall EA. Relationship between periodontal disease and systemic health. *Periodontol 2000*. 2001;25:21-36
5. Goma N, Nicolau B, Siddiqi A, Tenenbaum H, Glogauer M, Quiñonez C. How does the social "get under the gums"? The role of socio-economic position in the oral-systemic health link. *Can J Public Health*. 2017;108(3):e224-8
6. Farmer J, Ramraj C, Azarpazhooh A, Dempster L, Ravaghi V, Quiñonez C. Comparing self-reported and clinically diagnosed unmet dental treatment needs using a nationally representative survey. *J Public Health Dent*. 2017;77(4):295-301

7. Canadian Academy of Health Sciences. Improving access to oral health care for vulnerable people living in Canada. Ottawa: Canadian Academy of Health Sciences; 2014. Available from: <https://www.cahs-acss.ca/improving-access-to-oral-health-care-for-vulnerable-people-living-in-canada/>
8. Bair-Merritt MH, Blackstone M, Feudtner C. Physical health outcomes of childhood exposure to intimate partner violence: a systematic review. *Pediatrics*. 2006;117(2):e278-90
9. Lourenço LM, Baptista MN, Senra LX, Adriana A, Basílio C, Bhona FM. Consequences of exposure to domestic violence for children: a systematic review of the literature. *Paidéia (Ribeirão Preto)*. 2013;23(55):263-71. doi: 10.1590/1982-43272355201314
10. Mikkonen J, Raphael D. *Social determinants of health; the Canadian facts*. Toronto: York University School of Health Policy Management; 2010. Available from: www.thecanadianfacts.org
11. Trocmé N, Fallon B, MacLaurin B, Sinha V, Black T, Fast E, et al. Canadian incidence study of reported child abuse and neglect 2008: major findings. Ottawa: Public Health Agency of Canada; 2010. Available from: cwrp.ca/publications/2117
12. Trocmé NM, Tourigny M, MacLaurin B, Fallon B. Major findings from the Canadian incidence study of reported child abuse and neglect. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2003;27(12):1427-39
13. Fallon B, Van Wert M, Trocmé NM, MacLaurin B, Sinha V, Lefebvre R, et al. Ontario incidence study of reported child abuse and neglect – 2013 (OIS-2013). Toronto: Child Welfare Research Portal; 2015. Available from: cwrp.ca/publications/OIS-2013 (Accessed October 10, 2018)
14. Herrenkohl TI, Sousa C, Tajima EA, Herrenkohl RC, Moylan CA. Intersection of child abuse and children's exposure to domestic violence. *Trauma Violence Abuse*. 2008;9(2):84-99
15. Valencia-Rojas N, Lawrence HP, Goodman D. Prevalence of early childhood caries in a population of children with history of maltreatment. *J Public Health Dent*. 2008;68(2):94-101
16. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Adverse health conditions and health risk behaviors associated with intimate partner violence – United States, 2005. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2008;57(5):113-7
17. Kundu H, B P, Singla A, Kote S, Singh S, Jain S, et al. Domestic violence and its effect on oral health behaviour and oral health status. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2014;8(11):ZC09-12
18. Hendler TJ, Sutherland SE. Domestic violence and its relation to dentistry: a call for change in Canadian dental practice. *J Can Dent Assoc*. 2007;73(7):617
19. Kilpatrick N, Scott J, Robinson S. Child protection: a survey of experience and knowledge within the dental profession of New South Wales, Australia. *Int J Paediatr Dent*. 1999;9(3):153-9
20. John V, Messer LB, Arora R, Fung S, Hatzis E, Nguyen T, et al. Child abuse and dentistry: a study of knowledge and attitudes among dentists in Victoria, Australia. *Aus Dent J*. 1999;44(4):259-67
21. Harris CM, Welbury R, Cairns AM. The Scottish dental practitioner's role in managing child abuse and neglect. *Br Dent J*. 2013;214(9):E24
22. Love C, Gerbert B, Caspers N. Dentists' attitudes and behaviors regarding domestic violence. The need for an effective response. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2001;132(1):85-93
23. McTavish J, MacMillan, HL., Wathen, CN. Briefing note: mandatory reporting of child maltreatment. VEGA Project and PreVAiL Research Network. 2016. Available from: <https://projectvega.ca/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/2018/03/Briefing-Note-Fall-2016-Mandatory-Reporting-of-Child-Maltreatment.pdf> (Accessed October 10, 2018)
24. Dahlberg L, Mercy J. History of violence as a public health problem. *Virtual Mentor*. 2009;11(2):167-72
25. Learn about family violence. Ottawa: Government of Canada; 2014.. Available from: www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/health-promotion/stop-family-violence/learn-about-family-violence.htm
26. Potvin L, McQueen DV. Modernity, public health, and health promotion. In: McQueen DV, Kickbusch I, Potvin L, Pelican JM, Balbo L, Abel T, editors. Health and modernity: the role of theory in health promotion. New York: Springer; 2007:12-20.
27. The Ottawa charter for health promotion. First International Conference on Health Promotion, 21 November 1986. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1986. Available from: www.who.int/healthpromotion/conferences/previous/ottawa/en/
28. Figueiredo R, de Graaff C, Rabie H, Baran S, Huber C, Patterson S, et al. Oral health action plan. Edmonton: Alberta Health Services; 2016. Available from: www.albertahealthservices.ca/info/Page14901.aspx
29. CDA position on early childhood caries. Ottawa: Canadian Dental Association; 2010. Available from: www.cda-adc.ca/en/about/position_statements/ecc/
30. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Int J Soc Res Method*. 2005;8(1):19-32. doi: 10.1080/1364557032000119616
31. Leeb RT, Paulozzi LJ, Melanson C, Simon TR, Arias I. Child maltreatment surveillance: uniform definitions for public health and recommended data elements (version 1.0). Atlanta, Ga.: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2008. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/CM_Surveillance-a.pdf (Accessed October 10, 2018)
32. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med*. 2009;6(7):e1000097
33. Blumberg ML, Kunken FR. The dentist's involvement with child abuse. *N Y State Dent J*. 1981;47(2):65-9
34. DiMarco MA. Access/utilization of dental care by homeless children. PhD thesis. Cleveland: Case Western Reserve University; 2007. Available at: http://rave.ohiolink.edu/etdc/view?acc_num=cas1184352136 (Accessed May 25, 2017)
35. Petrosky M, Colaruotolo LA, Billings RJ, Meyerowitz C. The integration of social work into a postgraduate dental training program: a fifteen-year perspective. *J Dent Educ*. 2009;73(6):656-64
36. DiMarco MA, Ludington SM, Menke EM. Access to and utilization of oral health care by homeless children/families. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2010;21(2 Suppl):67-81
37. Abel S, Kowal HC, Brimlow D, Uchin M, Gerbert B. A collaboration to enhance oral health care for survivors of domestic violence: women's domestic violence shelters and Nova Southeastern University's College of Dental Medicine. *J Dent Educ*. 2012;76(10):1334-41
38. Abel SN, Bhoopathi V, Herzig K, Godoy MT, Kowal HC, Gerbert B. The impact of an oral health program on domestic violence survivors within community shelters. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2013;144(12):1372-8

39. Guardia Tello C. The intersection of early childhood caries and domestic violence: how dentists and dental hygienists in Alberta name the issue. MSc thesis. Calgary: University of Calgary; 2013. Available from: <http://hdl.handle.net/11023/485> (Accessed May 25, 2017)
40. Lorber MF, Slep AM, Heyman RE, Xu S, Dasanayake AP, Wolff, MS. Noxious family environments in relation to adult and childhood caries. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2014;145(9):924-30
41. Bright MA, Alford SM, Hinojosa MS, Knapp C, Fernandez-Baca DE. Adverse childhood experiences and dental health in children and adolescents. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 2015;43(3):193-9
42. Sano-Asahito T, Suzuki A, Matsuyama J, Mitomi T, Kinoshita-Kawano S, Hayashi-Sakai S, et al. Self-esteem and oral condition of institutionalized abused children in Japan. *J Clin Pediatr Dent.* 2015;39(4):322-5
43. Development of pan-Canadian public health guidance on family violence. Project summary. Violence Evidence Guidance Action; 2019. Available at: https://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/violence/8th_milestones_meeting/MacMillan_Wathen_VEGA.pdf. Accessed April 11, 2019 [Archived by WebCite® at <http://www.webcitation.org/77YISzXVN>
44. Lorber M, Maisson D, Slep A, Heyman RE, Wolff MS. Mechanisms linking interparental aggression to child dental caries. *Caries Res.* 2017;51(2):149-59
45. Gilbert R, Widom CS, Browne K, Fergusson D, Webb E, Janson S. Burden and consequences of child maltreatment in high-income countries. *Lancet.* 2009;373(9657):68-81
46. Watt RG, Sheiham A. Integrating the common risk factor approach into a social determinants framework. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 2012;40(4):289-96
47. Dülgergil CT, Colak H. Do the more caries in early primary dentition indicate the more caries in permanent dentition? Results of a 5-years follow-up study in rural-district. *J Int Soc Prev Community Dent.* 2012;2(2):48-52
48. Sharma M, Pinto, AD, Kumagai, AK. Teaching the social determinants of health: a path to equity or a road to nowhere? *Acad Med.* 2018;93(1):25-30